BEST COPY
AVAILABLE

# MONTANA LEGISLATIVE HISTORY

Chapter 139 1993

Bill H _____ S 369 Original bill & history ___C

| H. Committee on Agriculture | | S. Committee on Agriculture | |
|---|---|---|---|
| Hearing Date(s) Mar 04 | ✓ C | Hearing Date(s) Feb 15 | ✓ C |
| _____ | ___C | _____ | ___C |
| _____ | ___C | _____ | ___C |
| _____ | ___C | _____ | ___C |
| Date Out Mar 04 | ✓ C | Feb 15 | ✓ C |

Did this bill originate in an interim committee? ___Yes ___No

Committee _____ Report _____

| | | | |
|---|---|---|---|
| 2/11 | REFERRED TO JUDICIARY | | |
| 2/11 | FIRST READING | | |
| 2/11 | FISCAL NOTE REQUESTED | | |
| 2/17 | FISCAL NOTE RECEIVED | | |
| 2/17 | FISCAL NOTE PRINTED | | |
| 2/19 | HEARING | | |
| 2/20 | COMMITTEE REPORT—BILL PASSED | | |
| 2/22 | 2ND READING PASSED | 34 | 12 |
| 2/23 | 3RD READING PASSED | 40 | 9 |
| | TRANSMITTED TO HOUSE | | |
| 2/23 | REFERRED TO JUDICIARY | | |
| 2/23 | FIRST READING | | |
| 3/16 | HEARING | | |
| 3/25 | COMMITTEE REPORT-BILL NOT CONCURRED AS AMENDED | | |
| 3/26 | MOTION FAILED TO REJECT ADVERSE COMMITTEE REPORT | | |
| 3/26 | ADVERSE COMMITTEE REPORT ADOPTED (BILL RETURNED TO SENATE) | 52 | 47 |
| 4/19 | RECONSIDERED PREVIOUS ACTION AND REQUESTED BILL FROM SENATE FOR RECONSIDERATION | | |
| | SENATE | | |
| 4/19 | ACCEDED TO HOUSE REQUEST AND RETURNED BILL TO HOUSE FOR RECONSIDERATION | 49 | 0 |
| | HOUSE | | |
| 4/19 | ON MOTION RULES SUSPENDED TO ALLOW BILL ON 2ND AND 3RD READING THIS DAY | | |
| 4/19 | 2ND READING CONCURRED AS AMENDED | 95 | 2 |
| 4/19 | 3RD READING CONCURRED | 96 | 3 |
| | RETURNED TO SENATE WITH AMENDMENTS | | |
| 4/20 | ON MOTION RULES SUSPENDED TO PRINT AND PLACE ON 2ND READING THIS DAY | 49 | 0 |
| 4/20 | 2ND READING AMENDMENTS CONCURRED | 49 | 0 |
| 4/20 | ON MOTION RULES SUSPENDED TO ALLOW BILL ON 3RD READING THIS DAY | 48 | 0 |
| 4/20 | 3RD READING AMENDMENTS CONCURRED | 47 | 0 |
| 4/23 | SIGNED BY PRESIDENT | | |
| 4/24 | SIGNED BY SPEAKER | | |
| 4/24 | TRANSMITTED TO GOVERNOR | | |
| 4/24 | SIGNED BY GOVERNOR<br>CHAPTER NUMBER 542<br>EFFECTIVE DATE: 04/24/93 | | |

SB 369 INTRODUCED BY REA, ET AL.

ALLOW SALE OF CERTAIN PESTICIDES FOR UP TO 6 YEARS FROM DATE DISTRIBUTION IS TERMINATED

| | | | |
|---|---|---|---|
| 2/11 | INTRODUCED | | |
| 2/11 | REFERRED TO AGRICULTURE, LIVESTOCK & IRRIGATION | | |
| 2/11 | FIRST READING | | |
| 2/15 | HEARING | | |
| 2/17 | COMMITTEE REPORT—BILL PASSED AS AMENDED | | |
| 2/18 | 2ND READING PASSED | 48 | 0 |
| 2/19 | 3RD READING PASSED | 49 | 1 |
| | TRANSMITTED TO HOUSE | | |
| 2/23 | REFERRED TO AGRICULTURE, LIVESTOCK & IRRIGATION | | |
| 2/23 | FIRST READING | | |
| 3/04 | HEARING | | |
| 3/05 | COMMITTEE REPORT—BILL CONCURRED | | |
| 3/09 | 2ND READING CONCURRED | 93 | 5 |

| | | | |
|---|---|---|---|
| 3/11 | 3RD READING CONCURRED | 78 | 22 |
| | RETURNED TO SENATE | | |
| 3/16 | SIGNED BY PRESIDENT | | |
| 3/16 | SIGNED BY SPEAKER | | |
| 3/17 | TRANSMITTED TO GOVERNOR | | |
| 3/22 | SIGNED BY GOVERNOR | | |
| | CHAPTER NUMBER 139 | | |
| | EFFECTIVE DATE: 03/22/93 | | |

SB 370 INTRODUCED BY RYE

WAIVE DELINQUENT TAX ON PROPERTY SUBJECT TO A STATUTORY DUTY

| | | | |
|---|---|---|---|
| 2/12 | INTRODUCED | | |
| 2/12 | REFERRED TO TAXATION | | |
| 2/12 | FIRST READING | | |
| 3/02 | HEARING | | |
| 3/05 | COMMITTEE REPORT—BILL PASSED AS AMENDED | | |
| 3/06 | 2ND READING PASSED | 46 | 0 |
| 3/08 | 3RD READING PASSED | 48 | 0 |
| | TRANSMITTED TO HOUSE | | |
| 3/09 | REFERRED TO TAXATION | | |
| 3/09 | FIRST READING | | |
| 3/16 | HEARING | | |
| 3/20 | COMMITTEE REPORT—BILL CONCURRED AS AMENDED | | |
| 3/30 | 2ND READING CONCURRED | 93 | 4 |
| 4/01 | 3RD READING CONCURRED | 91 | 8 |
| | RETURNED TO SENATE WITH AMENDMENTS | | |
| 4/06 | 2ND READING AMENDMENTS CONCURRED | 50 | 0 |
| 4/07 | 3RD READING AMENDMENTS CONCURRED | 49 | 0 |
| 4/14 | SIGNED BY PRESIDENT | | |
| 4/14 | SIGNED BY SPEAKER | | |
| 4/15 | TRANSMITTED TO GOVERNOR | | |
| 4/20 | SIGNED BY GOVERNOR | | |
| | CHAPTER NUMBER 422 | | |
| | EFFECTIVE DATE: 04/20/93 | | |

SB 371 INTRODUCED BY RYE

CLARIFY AND LIMIT AUTO INSURER'S LIABILITY TO INSURED

| | | | |
|---|---|---|---|
| 2/12 | INTRODUCED | | |
| 2/12 | REFERRED TO JUDICIARY | | |
| 2/12 | FIRST READING | | |
| 2/20 | HEARING | | |
| 2/22 | COMMITTEE REPORT—BILL PASSED | | |
| 2/23 | 2ND READING PASSED | 48 | 1 |
| 2/24 | 3RD READING PASSED | 46 | 2 |
| | TRANSMITTED TO HOUSE | | |
| 3/01 | REFERRED TO JUDICIARY | | |
| 3/01 | FIRST READING | | |
| 3/18 | HEARING | | |
| 3/25 | TABLED IN COMMITTEE | | |

SB 372 INTRODUCED BY SWYSGOOD

REQUIRE BOARD OF SOCIAL WORK EXAMINERS AND PROFESSIONAL COUNSELORS TO ESTABLISH PROVISIONS BY RULE TO ACCOUNT FOR PARTIAL FULFILLMENT OF THE REQUIREMENTS FOR LICENSURE AS A

irrigation districts, it does not apply to small water user organizations. A $50 fee would only cover part of the costs.

Sen. Halligan asked if irrigation districts were run by non-profit boards and if there would be have to be a special meeting to notify membership of any fee changes. Jo Brunner said the action could be taken at a public meeting, unless the decision surpassed a certain dollar amount.

Sen. Aklestad asked how the irrigator would be affected under Sen. Beck's property tax bill. Ms. Brunner said that Sen. Beck could probably answer that better and they are not asking for those costs. Sen. Beck explained most people wanted to include delivery systems. Ms. Brunner said she thought it would be a minute dollar amount.

Closing by Sponsor:
Sen. Forrester said he agreed to carry the bill only if the maximum fee was reduced from $125 to $75. He said there was a difference between administrative costs and the taxes paid per acre on larger tracts of land. SB 350 would deal with ditch rider and bookkeeping costs. Irrigation districts are no different than any other water district strapped for cash with rising costs. SB 350 would help the irrigation districts meet their obligations. He urged a Do Pass.

## HEARING ON SB 369

Opening Statement by Sponsor:
Sen. Rea, District 38, said SB 369 was an act to allow the sale and use of certain pesticides for up to 6 years after distribution from the registrant. For example, he used a mosquito spray for 17 years which was voluntarily discontinued by the company. Under present statute, these pesticides can only be used for 2 years. A large back order of products that have been used for a number of years still exist. These products have been approved for use by the Environmental Protection Agency (EPA). If not used before the 2 year deadline they must be disposed of as hazardous waste. SB 369 would ask approval for use of these products as if they were still on the market. Sen. Rea said there were 2 amendments. One deals with following the annotated code on labeling directions to use the pesticide without negligence. The other amendment would provide for an immediate effective date.

Proponents' Testimony:
Leo Giacometto, Director of Department of Agriculture, supported SB 369 as written but had not seen the amendments.

Jim Freeman, Montana Mosquito and Vector Control Association and Director of the Cascade Mosquito District, supported SB 369. He said products are pulled from production that are still in the "pipeline" in Montana. Currently there is approximately $40,000 worth of products that would become waste if SB 369 did not pass. Replacement/disposal costs could amount to several hundred thousand dollars. SB 369 would allow for the reasonable and orderly transition to other materials for these mosquitoes districts. SB 369 would also allow for the safest possible disposal of these products. He had not seen the amendments, and hoped they would not affect passage of SB 369.

John Semple, Association of Montana Aerial Applicators, supported SB 369. (Exhibits #3 and #4).

Pam Langley, Montana Agri Business Association, supported SB 369. She said this type of legislation was necessary since many companies were choosing not to reregister products due to expense and other factors. She referred to the bottom of page 6 and explained what happened when a company voluntarily withdrew or canceled a products. She said the intent of SB 369 is to allow continued pesticide use if the company voluntarily cancels the product. For a product to be registered or re-registered they have to go through 120 separate tests and other environmental tests. Rather than going through expensive testing, companies are pulling products from the market.

Randy Johnson, Montana Grain Grower Association, supported SB 369, and asked the Committee to consider a "right to spray" clause. Producers who follow guidelines, labels, rules, and Montana laws in the application of chemicals would not be held negligent.

Opponents' Testimony:
None

Questions From Committee Members and Responses:
Sen. Koehnke asked what would happen to materials that remain longer than six years. Mr. Giacometto replied that there are guidelines for disposal of pesticides and chemicals as hazardous waste.

Closing by Sponsor:
Sen. Rea said he has used a recently discontinued mosquito pesticide safely and effectively for 17 years, and he would like to see use of this type of product use to be continued.

## EXECUTIVE ACTION ON SB 369

Discussion:
The Committee discussed another amendment which had not been reviewed and withheld further action until the next meeting.

**Motion/Vote**: Sen. Halligan MOVED the amendment SB 369 have an immediate effective date. The motion CARRIED UNANIMOUSLY.

## EXECUTIVE ACTION ON SB 350

**Motion/Vote**: Sen. Pipinich MOVED SB 350 DO PASS. The motion carried with Senators Beck, Aklestad and Koehnke voting No.

## EXECUTIVE ACTION ON HB 104

**Discussion:**
Doug Sternberg, Legislative Council, handed out amendments to HB 104 and explained them.

**Motion/Vote:**
Sen. Pipinich MOVED THE AMENDMENTS TO HB 104 (Exhibit #5). The motion CARRIED UNANIMOUSLY.

**Motion/Vote:**
Sen. Devlin MOVED AMENDMENTS to HB 104 (Exhibit #6). The motion CARRIED UNANIMOUSLY.

**Motion/Vote:**
Sen. Halligan MOVED HB 104 BE CONCURRED IN AS AMENDED. The motion CARRIED UNANIMOUSLY.

## ADJOURNMENT

**Adjournment:** Meeting adjourned at 1:52 p.m.

Jack "Doc" Rea
SENATOR REA, Chair

David Martin
DAVID MARTIN, Secretary

JR/dm

# ROLL CALL

SENATE COMMITTEE AGRICULTURE DATE 2-15-93

| NAME | PRESENT | ABSENT | EXCUSED |
|---|---|---|---|
| REA | ✓ | | |
| KOEHNKE | ✓ | | |
| AKLESTAD | ✓ | | |
| BECK | ✓ | | |
| DEVLIN | ✓ | | |
| BURNETT | ✓ | | |
| HALLIGAN | ✓ | | |
| PIPINICH | ✓ | | |
| FORRESTER | ✓ | | |
| BRUSKI-MAUS | ✓ | | |
| | | | |
| | | | |
| | | | |
| | | | |
| | | | |
| | | | |
| | | | |
| | | | |
| | | | |
| | | | |

FC8

Attach to each day's minutes

SENATE AGRICULTURE
EXHIBIT NO. 1
DATE 2-15-93
BILL NO. SB 369

AMENDMENT

TO

SENATE BILL ~~384~~ 369

Page 11
Following: line 3
Insert: NEW SECTION. Section 5. Pesticide application, use and handling.

No person shall be in violation of any provision of Titles 75 and 80, MCA resulting from the application, handling or use of a pesticide, if the person is in compliance with: pesticide label directions and precautions: the provisions of Title 80, Chapters 8 and 15, MCA; and the use of the pesticide is without negligence.

A person applying, handling or using general or restricted use pesticides shall not be required to obtain any other type of approval under any state or local statute when applying, handling or using registered pesticides, except as may be required in Title 80, Chapters 8 and 15, MCA. The disposal of pesticides hazardous wastes, and the transportation of pesticides as hazardous substances is not subject to these requirements.

Renumber: subsequent sections

...ATE AGRICULTURE
...BIT NO. 2
E 2-12-93
NO. SB 369

Amendments to Senate Bill No. 369
White Reading Copy

Requested by Senator Rea
For the Committee on Agriculture

Prepared by Doug Sternberg, Council Staff
February 15, 1993

1. Title, line 7.
Strike: "AND"

2. Title, line 8.
Following: "MCA"
Insert: "; AND PROVIDING AN IMMEDIATE EFFECTIVE DATE"

3. Page 7, line 2.
Insert: "NEW SECTION. **Section 2. Effective date.** [This act] is effective on passage and approval."

DEPARTMENT OF
HEALTH AND ENVIRONMENTAL SCIENCES

COGSWELL BUILDING

STATE OF MONTANA

FAX # (406) 444-2606

HELENA, MONTANA 59620

EXHIBIT NO. 3
DATE 2-17-93
BILL NO. SB 369

MEMORANDUM

TO: Doug Johnson, Administrator
Cascade County Mosquito Control District
521 First Ave. N.W.
Great Falls, MT 59404

FROM: Kenneth Quickenden, Ph.D., R.S.
Vector Control Section Supervisor
Food and Consumer Safety Bureau

DATE: February 3, 1993

SUBJECT: Impact of LC 726 or LC 1155 on Larviciding Costs SB 334

My best information indicates that there are about 825 gallons of Baytex 4 in the hands of county mosquito control people in Montana. It cost about $42,000 and would larvicide about 66,000 acres at 1.5 oz/ac. In determining the financial impact that would result if districts were not permitted to use this chemical I have made several conservative assumptions:

1) Larviciding application costs are $6.00/acre.
2) About 25% of the larval production sites are classified as permanent water and would require retreatment at 10 day intervals with the short term residual materials that would replace Baytex 4 (fenthion) which has a 21 day residual.

Cost Comparisons to Control Mosquito Larvae in 66,000 acres

| Chemical | Cost | Increase in Cost |
|---|---|---|
| Baytex 4 (fenthion) | $429,660 | 0 |
| Abate 4E | $687,060 | $156,400 |
| Altosid A.L.L. | $994,950 | $565,000 |
| BTI liquid | $1,188,000 | $758,340 |

**If language that permits the use of certain pesticides for 6 years (LC 726 or LC 1155) is not adopted, it will cost county mosquito control districts from $570,000 to $750,000 more over the next 4 years just to do the same amount of larviciding they could with material already on hand. The total cost to larvicide this amount of acreage would approach 1 million dollars.**

It should be noted that the stated estimates are low since fenthion granules, chlorpyrifos 2E and 57% malathion are also in mosquito control district inventories.

Since timing is more critical for the replacement insecticides, their residual is shorter, and since survey costs are higher, replacements will also be less effective.

MOSQUITO DISTRICTS - 27

DATE 2-15-93

SENATE COMMITTEE ON AGRICULTURE

BILLS BEING HEARD TODAY: SB 350, SB 369

| Name | Representing | Bill No. | Check One: Support | Check One: Oppose |
|---|---|---|---|---|
| Bob Stephens | MT Grain Growers Assn | 369 | ✓ | |
| John Semple | Assn. MT Aerial Applic. | 369 | ✓ | |
| JAMES S FREEMAN | MONT MOSQUITO CONT ASSOC CASCADE MOSQ DIST | 369 | ✓ | |
| Max Maddox | M.W.R.A. Hinsdale Division Irr. Assoc. | 350 | ✓ | |
| Pam Langley | Montana Agri Business | 369 | ✓ | |
| | | | | |
| | | | | |
| | | | | |
| | | | | |
| | | | | |
| | | | | |
| | | | | |
| | | | | |
| | | | | |
| | | | | |

VISITOR REGISTER

PLEASE LEAVE PREPARED STATEMENT WITH COMMITTEE SECRETARY

F10

drafted this session which would provide more money for the program. He said it would take some of the federal funds received by the Department of Natural Resource and add them to the river restoration program. **SEN. GROSFIELD** then explained the study of the water leasing program.

## HEARING ON SB 369

Opening Statement by Sponsor:

**SEN. JACK REA, SD 38, Three Forks,** explained SB 369 which deals with the registration and sale of certain pesticides in the state. He thanked them for their attention and urged the committee to concur in SB 369.

Proponents' Testimony:

**Ralph Peck, Deputy Director, Department of Agriculture,** testified for Leo Giacometto, Director. **EXHIBIT 3**

Opponents' Testimony: None

Questions From Committee Members and Responses: None

Closing by Sponsor:

**SEN. REA** closed.

**REP. BARNETT** was asked to carry SB 369 on the House floor.

## HEARING ON SB 350

Opening Statement by Sponsor:

**SEN. FORRESTER, SD 49, Billings,** explained that SB 350 raises the maximum administrative fee that may be charged by an irrigation district to $75 The current maximum fee of $25 was established in 1979 and has not been increased since that time. He also presented the committee with amendments. **EXHIBIT 4**

Proponents' Testimony:

**Jerry Nypen, Montana Water Resource Association,** said that the Association supports the amendments presented by **SEN. FORRESTER.** He said that the Association wanted to change the language to read that the board may charge an additional administrative charge of $5 to $75. They don't want a duplication of charges. At the present time, there has been some misinterpretation of existing law.

**Jay Chamberlain, manager of the East Irrigation District in**

**Dillon and the Montana Water Resource Association,** stated that he called the Hamilton Irrigation District to see how SB 350 would affect areas that are being heavily subdivided. He was told that in the last year eight farm operations were split creating 40 to 60 new parcels of land, and with these new parcels, water must be designated to them. It is the responsibility of the irrigation district to determine where that water is going to go within those subdivisions. The current maximum administrative fee of $25 is not enough to cover the costs. SB 350 will help recoup the costs that irrigation districts are forced to deal with.

**Max Maddox, member of the Montana Water Users Association and the Chinook Irrigation Division Association,** explained that SB 350 would help alleviate the costs associated with subdivisions. He urged the committee to concur in SB 350.

**Dean Hoff, Director of the Montana Resource Association,** explained that with subdivisions, irrigation districts are required to deliver water for more individuals instead of the previous owner. He said that the costs have gone up to deliver the water to these subdivisions owners.

Opponents' Testimony: None

Questions From Committee Members and Responses:

**REP. SPRING** asked **Mr. Hoff** about how he administers the water to these subdivisions. **Mr. Hoff** replied that he takes the water and rotates the use of the water to meet the needs of the subdivision owners.

**REP. STOVALL** asked **Mr. Hoff** how he determines what to charge the individuals. **Mr. Hoff** said that all users are assessed. It does not matter how large the subdivision is, the first acre costs $70, after that, it is based on a specific per acre charge for the number total number of acres you own. Everyone is charged the same amount per acre.

Closing by Sponsor:

**SEN. FORRESTER** explained that he amended SB 350 before he agreed to sponsor the bill. He stated the irrigation district wanted a fee increase to $125, however, he did not want to go above the $75 maximum administrative fee.

**REP. ROSE** was assigned to carry SB 350 on the House floor.

## EXECUTIVE ACTION ON SB 369

Motion: **REP. MASON MOVED SB 369 TO BE CONCURRED IN.** The motion carried unanimously with **REP. LARSON AND REP. SCHWINDEN** voting by proxy.

**REP. ANDERSON** asked the committee to delay executive action on SB 383 until next week.

**REP. DAVIS** wanted the committee to take executive action on SB 350 at the next meeting.

## EXECUTIVE ACTION ON SB 259

Motion: **REP. MASON MOVED TO TAKE SB 259 OFF THE TABLE FOR DISCUSSION.**

**REP. MASON** stated he would like the committee to amend SB 259 with the amendment as drafted by **Dave Bohyer.**

Discussion: **Mr. Bohyer** explained the amendment for the committee. **EXHIBIT 5**

**REP. MASON** asked if a landowner was willing to negotiate for the removal of a powerline would this law apply. **Mr. Bohyer** replied the law would not apply because the landowner was not petitioning in court for the removal of the powerline. It has to be done by court order for SB 259 to apply.

**REP. STOVALL** asked **Mr. Bohyer** if it was stated in the law if you pay for an easement it would recorded at the local court house. **Mr. Bohyer** replied that he did not know.

**REP. SPRING** asked if SB 259 addressed issues with transmission lines. **Dave Bohyer** said that the definition of an overhead utility line says that it is a facility for the transmission or distribution of electricity or telephone messages along wires or cables suspended above the ground between single or double poles and their respective anchors.

**REP. MASON** said that "SB 259 is trying get rid of a piece of legislation that the Supreme Court has ruled is no longer constitutional".

**REP. ANDERSON** asked **Mr. Bohyer** if he was aware of any provisions in the law which provided for payment of relocation costs of these lines. **Mr. Bohyer** replied that neither he nor Greg Petesch were aware of any provision.

Motion/Vote: **TO TAKE SB 259 OFF THE TABLE.** The motion carried with **REPS. DAVIS, LARSON** and **SCHWINDEN** voting no.

Motion: **REP. ANDERSON MOVED SB 259 BE CONCURRED IN.**

Discussion: **REP. BARNETT** stated he was going to support the bill for the purpose of clarifying the law.

**REP. ANDERSON** said that the committee has made SB 259 more confusing than it really is. This bill addresses a narrow

HOUSE OF REPRESENTATIVES

AGRICULTURE, LIVESTOCK, & IRRIGATION COMMITTEE

ROLL CALL DATE 3/4/93

| NAME | PRESENT | ABSENT | EXCUSED |
|---|---|---|---|
| REPRESENTATIVE SHIELL ANDERSON | ✓ | | |
| REPRESENTATIVE BOB BACHINI | ✓ | | |
| REPRESENTATIVE JOE BARNETT V.C. | ✓ | | |
| REPRESENTATIVE JODY BIRD | ✓ | | |
| REPRESENTATIVE ERVIN DAVIS | ✓ | | |
| REPRESENTATIVE BILL ENDY | ✓ | | |
| REPRESENTATIVE HARRIET HAYNE | ✓ | | |
| REPRESENTATIVE DON LARSON MIN. V.C. | ✓ | | |
| REPRESENTATIVE GARY MASON | ✓ | | |
| REPRESENTATIVE BILL REHBEIN | ✓ | | |
| REPRESENTATIVE SAM ROSE | ✓ | | |
| REPRESENTATIVE DORE SCHWINDEN | ✓ | | |
| REPRESENTATIVE WILBUR SPRING | ✓ | | |
| REPRESENTATIVE WAYNE STANFORD | ✓ | | |
| REPRESENTATIVE JAY STOVALL | ✓ | | |
| CHAIRMAN VERN KELLER | ✓ | | |
| | | | |
| | | | |
| | | | |
| | | | |
| | | | |

HOUSE STANDING COMMITTEE REPORT

March 4, 1993



Mr. Speaker: We, the committee on Agriculture, Livestock, and Irrigation report that Senate Bill 369 (third reading copy -- blue) be concurred in .

Signed: _____

Vern Keller, Chair

Carried by: Rep. Barnett

Committee Vote: [illegible] AMENDED

EXHIBIT 3
DATE 3/4/93
SB 369

MARC RACICOT
GOVERNOR

# STATE OF MONTANA
# DEPARTMENT OF AGRICULTURE

OFFICE OF THE DIRECTOR
AGRICULTURE/LIVESTOCK BLDG.
PO BOX 200201
HELENA, MONTANA 59620-0201

LEO A. GIACOMETTO
DIRECTOR
(406) 444-3144

FAX (406) 444-5409

MARCH 4, 1993

SENATE BILL 369

HOUSE AGRICULTURE, LIVESTOCK AND IRRIGATION COMMITTEE

MONTANA DEPARTMENT OF AGRICULTURE

LEO A. GIACOMETTO, DIRECTOR

Chairman Keller and members of the Committee. For the records, I am Leo Giacometto, Director of the Montana Department of Agriculture.

The department requests your support for passage of Senate Bill 369.

HOUSE OF REPRESENTATIVES
VISITOR'S REGISTER

Agriculture COMMITTEE BILL NO. HB 369

DATE March 4, 1993 SPONSOR(S) Zea

PLEASE PRINT PLEASE PRINT PLEASE PRINT

| NAME AND ADDRESS | REPRESENTING | SUPPORT | OPPOSE |
|---|---|---|---|
| Ralph Peck | Department of Agriculture | ✓ | |
| | | | |
| | | | |
| | | | |
| | | | |
| | | | |
| | | | |
| | | | |
| | | | |
| | | | |
| | | | |
| | | | |
| | | | |
| | | | |

PLEASE LEAVE PREPARED TESTIMONY WITH SECRETARY. WITNESS STATEMENT FORMS ARE AVAILABLE IF YOU CARE TO SUBMIT WRITTEN TESTIMONY.